FAX TRANSMISSION
DATE: February 26, 2009
PTO IDENTIFIER: Application Number 10/557,283-Conf. #9024 Patent Number
Inventor: Subroto Chatterjee et al.
MESSAGE TO: US Patent and Trademark Office
FAX NUMBER: (571) 273-8300
FROM: EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP
Jonathan M. Sparks, Ph.D.
PHONE: (617) 517-5543
Attorney Dkt. #: 61383(71699)
PAGES (Including Cover Sheet):4
CONTENTS: Certificate of Transmission (1 page) Response to Restriction Requirement (without Traverse) (2 pages)
If your receipt of this transmission is in error, please notify this firm immediately by collect call to sender at (617) 517-5543 and send the original transmission to us by return mail at the address below.
This transmission is intended for the sole use of the individual and entity to whom it is addressed, and may contain information that is privileged, confidential and exempt from disclosure under applicable law. You are hereby notified that any dissemination, distribution or duplication of this transmission by someone other than the intended addressee or its designated agent is strictly prohibited.  EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE LLP
P.O. Box 55874, Boston, Massachusetts 02205 Telephone: (617) 239-0100 Facsimile: (617) 227-4420

FEB 2 6 2009

PTO/S8/97 (09-04)

Approved for use through 07/31/2006. OMB 0651-0031

U. S. Patent and Tradamark Office; U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a yalid OMB control number.

Application No. (if known): 10/557,283 Attorney Docket No.: 61383(71699) Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8 I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent and Trademark Office. February 26, 2009 Jonathan M. Sparks, Ph.D. Typed or printed name of person signing Certificate 53,624 (617) 517-5543 Registration Number, if applicable Telephone Number Each paper must have its own certificate of transmission, or this certificate must Note: identify each submitted paper. Response to Restriction Requirement (without Traverse) (2 pages)

FEB. 76, 2009 2:11PM EAP&D 617 227 4420

NO. 86 ENTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 2 6 2009

Docket No.: 61383(71699)

(PATENT)

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of:

Chatterjee et al.

Application No.: 10/557,283

Confirmation No.: 9024

Filed: November 30, 2006

Art Unit: 1646

For: APOLIPOPRTEIN C-1 INDUCED APOPTOSIS

Examiner: Howard, Zachary C.

## RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Dear Madam:

This paper is responsive to the Restriction Requirement mailed February 10, 2009.

The Examiner has required Applicants to elect one of Groups I-III for continued prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, Applicants hereby elect Group I, without traverse.

The Examiner has further required the three species elections. In order to be fully responsive to the species election, Applicants elect i) female, ii) wherein the patient has been previously diagnosed with atherosclerosis, and iii) non-infant, with traverse. Claims 1-4 and 6-11 read on the elected species of female. Claims 1-5 and 7-11 read on the elected species of wherein the patient has been previously diagnosed with atherosclerosis, and claims 1-8 read on the elected species of non-infant subject.

Applicants respectfully request reconsideration of the species election on the grounds that consideration and examination of the species identified would not impose an undue burden. Additionally, significant expense and time would be saved if all of the species (i.e., all pending claims) were searched and examined at this time. Applicants have data indicating that both male

FEB. 26. 2009 2:11PM EAP&D 617 227 4420

RECEIVED
NO. 8695NTRAL FAX CENTER
FEB 2 6 2009

Docket No.: 61383(71699)

Application No. 10/557,283 Response to Restriction Requirement Page 2

and female subjects benefit form the methods of claim 1. Moreover, the methods of claim 1 are independent of prior diagnosis of atherosclerosis. Finally, both infant and non-infant subject would benefit from the methods currently claimed. The search all the species set forth by the Examiner would certainly not cause a burden on the Examiner. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request withdrawal of the species election.

If the Examiner maintains the requirement for the election of the species indicated above, Applicants respectfully indicate that these elections are made with the understanding that upon allowance of a generic claim, Applicants will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim.

Early examination and allowance of the application are earnestly solicited.

Date: February 26, 2009

Respectfullysubmitte

Josathan M. Sparks, Ph.D. Registration No.: 53,624

EDWARDS ANGELL PALMER & DODGE

LLP

P.O. Box 55874

Boston, Massachusetts 02205

(617) 439-4444

Attorneys/Agents For Applicant